



ACADEMIC PRESS

A divided difference expansion of a divided difference

Carl de Boor*

Department of Computer Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1210 W. Dayton St., Madison, WI 53706, USA

Received 21 November 2002; accepted 7 February 2003

Communicated by Amos Ron

Abstract

A divided difference expansion with remainder for a general divided difference is derived that contains Floater’s recent derivative expansion as a special case.

© 2003 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

Keywords: Divided difference; Univariate; Remainder; Interpolation

It is the purpose of this note to record a divided difference expansion of a divided difference, as suggested by the intriguing derivative expansion of a divided difference recently derived by Floater (see [F]), and containing the later as a special case.

With $[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ the divided difference (functional) at the point sequence (t_1, \dots, t_n) , here is the formula.

Proposition. *Let $t := (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and $s := (s_1, \dots, s_m)$ be real sequences, with $n \leq m$, and set*

$$\psi_{i,j} := \prod_{k=i}^{j-1} (\cdot - s_k), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m + 1.$$

Then,

$$[t_1, \dots, t_n] = \sum_{j=n}^m ([t_1, \dots, t_n] \psi_{j-n+2, m+1}) [s_{j-n+1}, \dots, s_m] + R_m(t, s), \quad (1a)$$

*Fax: +608-262-9777.

E-mail address: deboor@cs.wisc.edu

with

$$R_m(t, s) = \sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - s_i) ([t_i, \dots, t_n] \psi_{i+1, m+1}) [t_1, \dots, t_i, s_i, \dots, s_m]. \tag{1b}$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on m , the case $m = n$ being the readily derivable identity

$$[t_1, \dots, t_n] - [s_1, \dots, s_n] = \sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - s_i) [t_1, \dots, t_i, s_i, \dots, s_n],$$

which occurred to me after reading Floater’s account in [F] of an argument in [DL] that proves this identity for a constant sequence t , but which I eventually found already in Eberhard Hopf’s dissertation [H].

Assuming (1b) to be correct for a given m , let s_0 be an arbitrary point in \mathbb{R} . Since, by Leibniz’ formula,

$$(t_i - s_i) [t_i, \dots, t_n] f = [t_i, \dots, t_n] (\cdot - s_i) f - [t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n] f, \tag{2}$$

(1b) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{m+1}(t, (s_0, s)) &= R_m(t, s) - ([t_1, \dots, t_n] \psi_{1, m+1}) [s_0, \dots, s_m] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n ([t_i, \dots, t_n] \psi_{i, m+1} - [t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n] \psi_{i+1, m+1}) [t_1, \dots, t_i, s_i, \dots, s_m] \\ &\quad - ([t_1, \dots, t_n] \psi_{1, m+1}) [s_0, \dots, s_m] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n ([t_i, \dots, t_n] \psi_{i, m+1}) ([t_1, \dots, t_i, s_i, \dots, s_m] - [t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, s_{i-1}, \dots, s_m]) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n ([t_i, \dots, t_n] \psi_{i, m+1}) (t_i - s_{i-1}) [t_1, \dots, t_i, s_{i-1}, \dots, s_m] \end{aligned}$$

and moving the factors $(t_i - s_{i-1})$ to the left and renaming (s_0, \dots, s_m) to (s_1, \dots, s_{m+1}) finishes the proof. \square

Floater’s formula is the special case when $s_i = x$ for all i , hence $\psi_{i+1, m+1} = (\cdot - x)^{m-i}$, while, as Floater kindly pointed out to me, the Dokken/Lyche formula (see [DL]) for the derivatives of the error in Hermite interpolation is the special case when t is constant. More than that, Floater also pointed out that, with $p := m - n$, (1a, b) can also be written

$$\begin{aligned} [t_1, \dots, t_n] &= [t_1, \dots, t_n] \sum_{j=n}^m \psi_{1, j} [s_1, \dots, s_j] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - s_{i+p}) ([t_1, \dots, t_i] \psi_{1, i+p}) [s_1, \dots, s_{i+p}, t_i, \dots, t_n]. \tag{3} \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, reversing the order of the entries of both t and s converts (1a, b) into (3).

Floater [F] also proves, for the case of constant s and using properties of the elementary symmetric functions, that, for odd $m - n$,

$$R_m(t, s)f = ([t_1, \dots, t_n]\psi_{1, m+1})D^m f(\xi)/m! \quad (4)$$

for some ξ in the interval containing both t and s (and assuming that f is sufficiently smooth). Such a result can also be proved in our more general context, using elementary properties of the divided difference, as follows.

By (2) and induction,

$$\begin{aligned} [t_1, \dots, t_n]\psi_{1, m+1} &= (t_1 - s_1)[t_1, \dots, t_n]\psi_{2, m+1} + [t_2, \dots, t_n]\psi_{2, m+1} \\ &= \dots \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - s_i)[t_i, \dots, t_n]\psi_{i+1, m+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since this is the sum of the coefficients in (1b), (4) follows provided one can show that these coefficients are all of the same sign. This is indeed possible for the case $m - n$ odd, under some assumption on s . The simplest such assumption is that the smallest interval containing s contains no t_j in its interior (certainly satisfied when s is constant).

Since (as already used) $[t_1, \dots, t_n]f = D^{n-1}f(\xi)/(n-1)!$ for some ξ in the smallest interval containing all the t_j , it is clear that $[t_1, \dots, t_n]\psi_{2, m+1}$ is positive in case all the t_j are to the right of all the s_i . Also, when $m - n = \deg D^{n-1}\psi_{2, m+1}$ is odd, then $[t_1, \dots, t_n]\psi_{2, m+1}$ is negative in case all the t_j are to the left of all the s_i . Hence, in both cases, $(t_1 - s_1)[t_1, \dots, t_n]\psi_{2, m+1}$ is nonnegative. Otherwise, there are t_j both to the left and to the right of s_1 ; hence, after exchanging t_1 with some more suitable t_j if necessary, $(t_1 - s_1)[t_1, \dots, t_n]\psi_{2, m+1}$ is nonnegative in this case, too. Thus, by (5) and induction, there is a reordering of t so that all the coefficients in (1b) are nonnegative, and (4) follows.

The simple example $s = (0, 0, 3)$, $t = (2, 2)$, for which $[t_1, \dots, t_n]\psi_{1, m+1} = 0$, shows that (4) does not hold in general.

References

- [DL] T. Dokken, T. Lyche, A divided difference formula for the error in Hermite interpolation, BIT 19 (1979) 540–541.
- [F] M. Floater, Error formulas for divided difference expansions and numerical differentiation, J. Approx. Theory 122 (2003) 1–9.
- [H] E. Hopf, Über die zusammenhänge zwischen gewissen höheren differenzenquotienten reeller funktioneneiner reellen variablen und deren differenzierbarkeitseigenschaften, dissertation Universität Berlin (30 pp.), 1926.